

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 REMARK HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation;
4 and KANKAN LIMITED., a British Virgin Islands
company,

5 *Plaintiffs,*

6 v.

7
8 CHINA BRANDING GROUP LIMITED (IN OFFICIAL
LIQUIDATION), an exempted Cayman Islands company
9 acting by and through its joint official liquidators;
10 ADAM ROSEMAN; JOINT OFFICIAL
LIQUIDATORS, with no personal liability, HUGH
11 DICKSON OF GRANT THORNTON SPECIALIST
SERVICES (CAYMAN) LTD, a Cayman Islands
12 company, and DAVID BENNETT OF GRANT
THORNTON RECOVERY AND REORGANISATION
13 LTD, a Cayman Islands company; and DOES 1 through
14 10, inclusive,

15 *Defendants.*

Case No. 2:18-cv-00322

**ORDER ON REVISED
STIPULATION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT ADAM
ROSEMAN'S MOTION TO
DISMISS
(SECOND REQUEST)**

(ECF No. 24)

17 The Court, having considered the above stipulation of the parties, and good cause
18 appearing, finds as follows:

19 1. Defendant Roseman filed a twenty-four page motion to dismiss on April 25, 2018
20 (*see* ECF No. 20). The motion to dismiss raises five separate bases for dismissal.

21 2. This is a complex case that alleges fraud in the sale of digital media companies to
22 Plaintiff, who seeks rescission of the relevant purchase agreement. The complexity of the case and
23 the motion require significant time to respond adequately.

24 3. Counsel for Plaintiffs is counsel of record in a week-long arbitration that is
25 scheduled to begin on May 21, 2018 in New York City, preparation for which is occupying
26 Plaintiffs' counsel's time and prevents counsel from spending sufficient time on the motion to
27 dismiss.
28

1 4. The additional time is not sought for purposes of delay but, instead, is necessary for
2 Plaintiffs and undersigned counsel to respond adequately to the motion to dismiss.

3 5. Roseman's counsel requests an extension of the deadline to file Roseman's reply
4 brief from June 8 (the day that it would be due if the Court were to grant the extension of Plaintiffs'
5 deadline to respond to the motion) to June 15. Defendant's counsel will be out of the country for a
6 preplanned vacation from May 26 through June 4.

7 5. This is the parties' second request for an extension. The first request was denied
8 without prejudice on May 7, 2018 (*see* ECF No. 23).

9
10 IT IS ORDERED THAT Remark Holdings, Inc. and KanKan Limited shall have until to
11 **June 1, 2018** to file their response to Adam Roseman's motion to dismiss.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Roseman shall have until **June 15, 2018** to file his
13 reply, if any, in support of his motion to dismiss.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED:
15

16
17 
18 JENNIFER A. DORSEY
19 U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
20 Dated: May 9, 2018.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28